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## Introduction

This report covers the period January 1, 2018 to June 30, 2018, the seventeenth year of Phase IV of the Acid Rain Monitoring Project. Phase I began in 1983 when about one thousand citizen volunteers were recruited to collect and help analyze samples from nearly half the state's surface waters. In 1985, Phase II aimed to do the same for the rest of the streams and ponds ${ }^{1}$ in Massachusetts. The third phase spanned the years 1986-1993 and concentrated on a subsample of streams and ponds to document the effects of acid deposition to surface waters in the state. Over 800 sites were monitored in Phase III, with 300 citizen volunteers collecting samples and doing pH and ANC analyses. In 2001, the project was resumed on a smaller scale: about 60 volunteers are now involved to collect samples from approximately 150 sites, 26 of which are long-term sites with ion and color data dating back to Phase I. In the first years of Phase IV (2001-2003), 161 ponds were monitored for 3 years. Between Fall 2003 and Spring 2010, the project monitored 151 sites twice a year, mostly streams, except for the 26 long-terms sites that are predominantly ponds. Since 2011, reduced funding eliminated our October sampling and monitoring now occurs in April only. In 2011, we also stopped monitoring some of the streams in order to add and revisit ponds that were monitored in 2001-2003. This year is the seventh year of monitoring for those added ponds.

## Goals

The goals of this project are to determine the overall trend of sensitivity to acidification in Massachusetts surface waters and whether the 1990 Clean Air Act Amendment has resulted in improved water quality.

## Methods

The sampling design was changed in 2011 to monitor both streams and ponds, and that design continues to date. In 2001-2003 mostly ponds were monitored. In Fall 2003 the sampling scheme switched to streams to evaluate their response to air pollution reductions. In 2011 the site list was modified to include both ponds and streams. Half of the streams monitored since 2003 were kept, and half of the ponds monitored in 2001-2003 were added back. The streams that were removed were chosen randomly within each county. Ponds that were reinstated on the sampling list were chosen at random within those counties and by ease of accessibility to replace the removed streams. Because those sites were chosen without a preconceived plan, they can be considered picked at random.

One collection took place this year, on April 8, 2018.
Methods were unchanged from previous years: Volunteer collectors were contacted six weeks before the collection to confirm participation. Clean sample bottles were sent to them in the mail, along with sampling directions, a field sheet/chain of custody form, and directions including latitude and longitude coordinates along with maps to the sampling sites. Volunteers collected a surface water sample at their sampling sites either from the bank or wading a short distance into the water body. They collected water one foot below the surface, upstream of their body, after rinsing their sample bottle three times with pond or stream water. If collecting by a bridge, they collected upstream of the bridge unless safety and access did not allow it. They filled in their field data sheet with date, time, and site code information, placed their samples on ice in a cooler and delivered the samples to their local laboratory right away. They were instructed to collect their samples as close to the lab analysis time as possible. In a few cases, samples were collected the day prior to analysis because the lab is not open on traditional "ARM Sunday." Previous studies by our research team have established that pH does not change significantly in 24 hours when the samples are refrigerated and stored in the dark.

Volunteer labs were sent any needed supplies (sulfuric acid titrating cartridge, electrode, buffers), two quality control (QC) samples, aliquot containers for long-term site samples, and a lab sheet one week to ten days before the collection. They analyzed the first QC sample (an unknown) in the week prior to the collection and called in their results to the Statewide Coordinator. If QC results were not acceptable, the volunteer analyst discussed possible reasons with the Statewide Coordinator and made modifications until the QC sample analysis gave acceptable results. On collection day or the day after, volunteer labs analyzed the second QC sample before and after the regular samples, and reported the results on their lab sheet along with the regular samples. Analyses were done on their pH -meters with KCl -filled combination pH electrodes. Acid neutralizing capacity (ANC) was measured with a double end-point

[^0]titration to pH 4.5 and 4.2. Most labs used a Hach digital titrator for the ANC determination, but some used traditional pipette titration equipment. Aliquots were taken from 24 long-term sites to fill two 50 mL bottles and one 50 mL tube per site for later analysis of ions and color. These aliquots were kept refrigerated until retrieved by UMass staff.

Note that two of our 26 long-term sites were not sampled (Lake Lorraine in Springfield and Great Pond in Wellfleet) this year. Also note that it was discovered this year that Great Pond was accidentally dropped from the long-term list and replaced with Hatches Creek in Eastham in 2014. We will not include results for Hatches Creek with the long term sites analyses, as it was not selected to be a long term site in 2001 and does not satisfy the criteria to be put on that list. We plan to re-instate Great Pond to the long-term sites list in 2019.

Aliquots, empty bottles, and results were collected by the ARM Statewide Coordinator between one and three days after the collection.

The Statewide Coordinator reviewed the QC results for all labs and flagged data for any lab results that did not pass Data Quality Objectives (within 0.3 units for pH and within $3 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ for ANC ). pH and ANC data were entered by one ARM staff and proofread by another. Data were entered in a MS excel spreadsheet and uploaded into the web-based database at http://63.135.115.71/acidrainmonitoring/. Data were also posted on the ARM web page at http://wrrc.umass.edu/research/acid-rain-monitoringproject.

Water Resources Research Center's Travis Drury, with the help of sophomore student Haena Jung, managed the Environmental Analysis Lab (EAL) and provided the QC samples for pH and ANC to all of the volunteer labs. EAL also provided analysis for color analysis for the long-term site samples. The UMass Extension Soils Laboratory analyzed the samples from the long-term sites for cations, and University of New Hampshire's Water Quality Analysis Laboratory, under the direction of Jody Potter, analyzed the samples from the long-term sites for anions.

Aliquots for 24 long-term sites were analyzed for color on a spectrophotometer within one day; anions within two months on an Ion Chromatograph; and cations within one month on an ICP at the UMass Extension Soils Laboratory on the UMass Amherst campus. The available data was sent via MS Excel spreadsheet to the Statewide Coordinator who uploaded it into the web-based database.

The Project Principal Investigator plotted the data to check for data inconsistencies and gaps. She then analyzed the available April data from 1983 through 2018, using the statistical software JMP (http://www.jmp.com/software/) to run bivariate analyses of $\mathrm{pH}, \mathrm{ANC}$, and ions against date. This yielded trends analyses with a fitted X Y line, using a 95\% confidence interval.

## Results

1. There were 149 sites to be monitored, 76 ponds and 73 streams. Of those, 19 ponds and 7 streams are "long-term" sites that are sampled every year and analyzed for color and a suite of ions in addition to pH and ANC.
2. Sampling was completed for 138 sites ( 72 ponds and 66 streams) including 24 of our long-term sites.
3. There were no quality control problem this year, so that all sites sampled yielded results for pH and ANC.
4. For the ion analyses, both UNH and UMass analyzed the 24 long term site samples for $\mathrm{Mg}, \mathrm{Ca}, \mathrm{Na}$, and K. Because the UMass lab had a positive blank for K, we decided to use the UNH data for these 4 ions. The other ions ( $\mathrm{Mn}, \mathrm{Fe}, \mathrm{Al}, \mathrm{Cu}$ ) were analyzed by UMass only and UMass results were uploaded to the database. We noticed that one known 2017 outlier for $K$ was included in the dataset, so we corrected that and included the corrected value in our analysis. Note that neither lab provides analyses for Si , so that parameter is no longer included in the analysis.
5. The network of volunteers was maintained and kept well informed on the condition of Massachusetts surface waters so that they would be able to participate effectively in the public debate. This was
accomplished by e-mail and telephone communications, as well as through updates via an internet listserv. Over 60 volunteers participated in this year's collection. Several new volunteer collectors were recruited to replace ill or retiring volunteers via Volunteermatch.org, a press release which was picked up by at least two Massachusetts newspapers, several internet listservs, and by word of mouth. There were 10 volunteer labs across the state, in addition to the EAL at UMass Amherst, in charge of pH and ANC analyses (Table 1). As the Cape Cod National Seashore lab was not available this year, their samples were analyzed by the Bristol County Community College lab.

Table 1: Volunteer Laboratories

| Analyst Name | Affiliation | Town |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Joseph Ciccotelli | Ipswich Water Treatment Department | Ipswich |
| Amy Johnston | UMass Boston | Boston |
| Mark Putnam | MDC Quabbin Lab | Belchertown |
| Dave Bennett | Cushing Academy | Ashburnham |
| Kimberly Newton <br> and Mary Rapien | Bristol Community College | Fall River |
| Bob Bentley | Analytical Balance Corp | Middleborough |
| Dave Christensen | Westfield State University | Westfield |
| Denise Prouty | Upper Blackstone Water Pollution Abatement District | Millbury |
| Carmen DeFillippo | Pepperell Waste Water Treatment Plant | Pepperell |
| Cathy Wilkins | Greenfield High School | Greenfield |
| Travis Drury | UMass Amherst Environmental Analysis Lab | Amherst |

6. The ARM web site and searchable database were maintained and updated. $2018 \mathrm{pH}, \mathrm{ANC}$, color, and ion data that met data quality objectives were added to the web database via the uploading tool created in previous years. The database was evaluated for quality control and uploading errors were corrected. We discussed needed improvements to the data upload utility and database for future improvement of data downloads, and plan to hire our database consultant next year to add these improvements.
7. The data collected was analyzed for trends in pH and ANC in April months ( 138 sites) and for color and ions (24 sites), using the JMP ${ }^{\circledR}$ Statistical Discovery Software (http://www.jmp.com/software/). Trend analyses (scatter plots, regression, and correlation) were run on $\mathrm{pH}, \mathrm{ANC}$, color, and each ion separately for each site, predicting concentration vs. time.

## Data Analysis Results

## pH and ANC

Table 2 displays the number of sites that show a significant change over time for pH or ANC. If the difference was not statistically significant ( $p>0.05$ ), the sites are tabulated in the 'No Change' category.

Table 2: Trend analysis results for pH and ANC, April 1983 - April 2018 (Number of sites)

|  | All Sites |  | Ponds |  | Streams |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathbf{p H}$ | ANC | $\mathbf{p H}$ | ANC | $\mathbf{p H}$ | ANC |
| Increased | 42 | 45 | 21 | 29 | 21 | 16 |
| Decreased | 6 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 0 |
| No Change | 90 | 93 | 50 | 43 | 40 | 50 |
| Total | 138 | 138 | 72 | 72 | 66 | 66 |

Those results are graphed as percentages of all sites in Figure 1.


Figure 1. Percent change in number of sites for pH and ANC, from trend analysis, April 1983-2018

This trend analysis indicates that for most sites, neither pH nor ANC changed significantly over time. However, for those sites that show a significant change, many more show an increase than a decrease in value: $30 \%$ of the sites saw an increase in pH and $33 \%$ had an increase in ANC.

While in general the picture does not vary much from year to year, this year a much higher percentage of ponds exhibited an increase in ANC compared to streams ( $40 \%$ vs. $24 \%$ ), while more streams had a higher pH than in the past than ponds ( $32 \% \mathrm{vs} .29 \%$ ). More streams saw a drop in $\mathrm{pH}(8 \%)$ than ponds (1\%), while neither ponds nor streams saw any decrease in ANC.

Last year, when there was snow on the ground in early April, we observed a small change to more acidic conditions. This year the picture looks similar overall, though fewer ponds showed a decrease in pH and alkalinity, and more streams showed such a decrease. This year there was no snow on the ground on sampling day, though it snowed 2 days prior. Over the whole winter, 2018 had similar total snow amounts as 2017, but March was much snowier this year than last. We purposely sample in early April to catch any large snowmelt events, but this year the snow melted early and we evidently missed the big snowmelt event.

## lons and color

Trend analyses were run for 24 long-term sites that were analyzed for eleven ions and for color. Results are shown in Table 3 and Figure 2. Note that the trends period is 1985-2018 rather than 1983-2018 because we do not have ion analyses for 1983 and 1984 for the long-term sites.

Table 3: Trends for number of sites with increases or decreases in ion concentration and color April 1985 - April 2018

|  | Increased | Decreased | No <br> Change |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Color | 19 | 0 | 5 |
| $\mathbf{C l}$ | 17 | 0 | 7 |
| NO3_N | 11 | 1 | 12 |
| SO4 | 0 | 22 | 2 |
| $\mathbf{M g}$ | 5 | 0 | 19 |
| $\mathbf{M n}$ | 0 | 5 | 19 |
| $\mathbf{F e}$ | 0 | 6 | 18 |
| $\mathbf{C u}$ | 2 | 0 | 22 |
| $\mathbf{A l}$ | 2 | 3 | 19 |
| $\mathbf{C a}$ | 5 | 0 | 19 |
| $\mathbf{N a}$ | 13 | 0 | 11 |
| $\mathbf{K}$ | 8 | 0 | 16 |



Figure 2: Results of trend analysis for ions and color at 24 long-term sites, April 1985-2018 Shown is how many sites showed an increase (blue), decrease (orange), or no significant change (yellow) over the period 1985-2018

Results are similar to last year. While there are still more sites that show no significant change either up or down, more cations display an increase than a decrease over the years. Sodium is now again the ion with the most increases, with iron showing the most decreases. This year we had another opportunity to compare analytical results for four cations ( $\mathrm{Mg}, \mathrm{Ca}, \mathrm{Na}$, and K ) between the UNH and the UMass lab, and because of a positive blank at UMass, we used the UNH results for those 4 cations. As we noted last year, the UMass lab results are often higher than the UNH lab, and indeed this year we are seeing fewer statistically significant increases, particularly for Potassium.

For anions, we continue to see a very significant downward trend in Sulfate (again 22 sites). Nitrates, on the other hand, continue to show more increases than decreases, and it is unknown at this time whether it is due to increasing vehicular emissions, or a result of climate change - smaller and less persistent snowpacks result in fine root damage and reduced microbial activity. This can result in losses of nutrient elements, most notably Nitrogen in the form of $\mathrm{NO}_{3}{ }^{-}$.

Color is still increasing in most of our sites, which is consistent with a recovery of natural alkalinity.

## Discussion

The continued trend in decreasing sulfate confirms that the Clean Air Amendment of 1990 is having a positive effect in the quality of the Commonwealth's surface water quality. Road salting in the winter continues to affect the concentration of sodium and calcium in the water bodies. Continued monitoring will help tease out whether nitrate pollution is countering the beneficial effect of decreased sulfates.
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## Appendix

Table A-1: April 8, 2018 pH and Alkalinity data

| Name | Palsite | pH | Alkalinity |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Shingle Island Brook | 188 | 5.38 | 1.05 |
| Beagle Club Pond | 371 | 6.21 | 3.80 |
| Cheshire Res North | 11002 | 7.72 | 64.20 |
| Belmont Reservoir | 21010 | 6.72 | 25.70 |
| Lake Garfield | 21040 | 7.19 | 40.20 |
| Long Pond | 21062 | 7.53 | 81.40 |
| Trout Pond 2 | 31042 | 5.91 | 2.10 |
| Upper Spectacle Pond | 31044 | 5.86 | 5.80 |
| Cobble Mtn Reservoir | 32018 | 6.33 | 5.00 |
| Ashfield Pond | 33001 | 7.37 | 36.70 |
| Bog Pond | 33003 | 5.76 | 2.60 |
| Plainfield Pond | 33017 | 6.05 | 1.50 |
| Brass Mill Pond | 34011 | 7.00 | 9.30 |
| Fiske Pond | 34023 | 5.20 | 0.10 |
| Hawley Reservoir | 34031 | 6.12 | 1.57 |
| Scarboro Pond | 34080 | 5.95 | 1.70 |
| Lake Wyola | 34103 | 6.22 | 1.70 |
| Bassett Pond | 35002 | 5.79 | 0.80 |
| Cowee Pond | 35013 | 5.18 | 0.20 |
| Greenwood Pond | 35026 | 4.63 | -1.00 |
| Moores Pond | 35048 | 5.82 | 1.40 |
| Stump Pond | 35085 | 4.75 | -0.80 |
| Tully Pond | 35089 | 6.35 | 2.30 |
| Upper Naukeag Lake | 35090 | 6.00 | 1.80 |
| Lake Watatic | 35095 | 6.34 | 3.80 |
| Lake Rohunta | 35107 | 5.90 | 1.80 |
| Bickford Pond | 36015 | 6.30 | 2.60 |
| Cloverdale Street Pond | 36036 | 6.63 | 5.30 |
| Crystal Lake | 36043 | 6.11 | 0.88 |
| Quabbin Reservoir | 36129 | 6.85 | 4.48 |
| Thompsons Pond | 36155 | 6.41 | 4.90 |
| Nipmuck Pond | 42039 | 5.42 | 0.70 |
| Coes Reservoir | 51024 | 6.94 | 14.00 |
| Holden Reservoir 1 | 51063 | 6.25 | 2.80 |
| Lynde Brook Reservoir | 51090 | 6.74 | 9.70 |
| Wallis Reservoir | 51179 | 6.50 | 4.20 |
| Plain Street Pond | 52032 | 6.35 | 8.70 |
| North Watuppa Lake | 61004 | 5.25 | 0.30 |

Table A-1: April 8, 2018 pH and Alkalinity data (continued)

| Deep Pond | 62058 | 6.32 | 7.20 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Johnson Pond | 62097 | 6.20 | 3.05 |
| Winnecunnet Pond | 62213 | 6.71 | 7.90 |
| Upper Mystic Lake | 71043 | 7.68 | 36.80 |
| Farm Pond | 72039 | 6.62 | 5.40 |
| Notch Pond | 72088 | 4.88 | -0.20 |
| Lake Pearl | 72092 | 6.81 | 21.40 |
| Pleasant Reservoir | 72095 | 6.42 | 16.60 |
| Stony Brook Pond | 72113 | 6.39 | 16.00 |
| Storrow Pond | 72115 | 6.36 | 2.00 |
| Blue Hills Reservoir | 73004 | 7.45 | 15.10 |
| Ashby Reservoir | 81001 | 6.31 | 2.70 |
| Grove Pond | 81053 | 6.80 | 16.60 |
| Heald Pond | 81056 | 7.40 | 15.20 |
| Phoenix Pond | 81100 | 6.90 | 21.60 |
| Robbins Pond | 81111 | 6.85 | 15.80 |
| Sandy Pond | 81117 | 6.70 | 8.70 |
| Lake Wampanoag | 81151 | 5.33 | 0.20 |
| Wright Pond | 81160 | 6.10 | 1.70 |
| Whitehall Reservoir | 82120 | 6.03 | 3.40 |
| Mystic Pond | 84043 | 6.89 | 18.80 |
| Upper Attitash Pond | 84072 | 7.23 | 16.60 |
| Duck Pond | 84083 | 6.35 | 5.90 |
| Hedges Pond | 94065 | 6.22 | 2.50 |
| Indian Pond | 94072 | 6.23 | 8.20 |
| College Pond | 95030 | 6.64 | 2.50 |
| Ezekiel Pond | 95051 | 6.63 | 3.10 |
| Little Sandy Pond | 95092 | 6.46 | 1.60 |
| New Long Pond | 95112 | 6.18 | 1.80 |
| Spectacle Pond | 95142 | 6.70 | 5.20 |
| Turner Pond | 95151 | 4.86 | -0.60 |
| Noquockoke Lake | 95170 | 6.20 | 3.40 |
| Great Pond | 96117 | 5.81 | 0.60 |
| Kinnacum Pond | 96163 | 5.07 | 0.15 |
| Round Pond | 96264 | 4.97 | -0.20 |
| Soda Creek | 2103725 | 6.58 | 20.60 |
| Williams River | 2104100 | 7.77 | 110.60 |
| Sleepy Hollow Brook | 2104200 | 8.06 | 161.00 |
| Barton Brook | 2105350 | 6.88 | 26.60 |
| Anthony Brook | 2105425 | 6.42 | 6.80 |


| Kilburn Brook | 2105700 | 6.74 | 8.40 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cady Brook | 2105725 | 6.78 | 13.80 |
| Bilodeau Brook | 2105750 | 6.68 | 19.60 |
| Fox Brook | 3106825 | 6.24 | 2.40 |
| Babcock Brook | 3107625 | 5.74 | 0.90 |
| Valley Brook | 3107700 | 6.01 | 2.90 |
| Walker Brook | 3210300 | 6.56 | 10.20 |
| Hinsdale Brook | 3313175 | 7.71 | 53.30 |
| Shingle Brook | 3313850 | 7.56 | 59.00 |
| North River | 3314100 | 6.94 | 19.00 |
| Kinsman Brook | 3314450 | 6.98 | 14.70 |
| Vincent Brook | 3314550 | 7.27 | 14.00 |
| Underwood Brook | 3314650 | 6.52 | 2.80 |
| Bozrah Brook | 3315325 | 6.95 | 15.00 |
| Mill River | 3419825 | 7.28 | 31.50 |
| Black Brook | 3522675 | 6.12 | 1.80 |
| Kenny Brook | 3523750 | 5.97 | 1.20 |
| Beaman Brook | 3523825 | 5.66 | 1.20 |
| Wilder Brook | 3523950 | 5.37 | 0.00 |
| Baker Brook | 3524050 | 5.67 | 1.50 |
| Towne Brook | 3524200 | 5.48 | 0.30 |
| Robbins Brook | 3524250 | 5.46 | -0.10 |
| Sucker Brook | 3625975 | 6.31 | 3.50 |
| Maynard Brook | 3626475 | 5.40 | 0.50 |
| Cadwell Creek | 3626575 | 5.98 | 0.97 |
| West Branch Swift River | 3626800 | 5.48 | 0.10 |
| Hop Brook | 3627000 | 6.54 | 3.90 |
| East Branch Swift River | 3627200 | 6.41 | 2.28 |
| Flat Brook | 3627500 | 6.67 | 6.50 |
| West Branch Ware River | 3628175 | 6.06 | 1.80 |
| French River | 4230075 | 6.57 | 8.40 |
| Wellington Brook | 4230325 | 6.36 | 15.20 |
| Round Meadow Brook | 5131275 | 6.04 | 2.50 |
| Aldrich Brook | 5131425 | 6.10 | 3.70 |
| Sewall Brook | 5132600 | 6.88 | 16.30 |
| Cronin Brook | 5132625 | 6.54 | 7.40 |
| Dorothy Brook | 5132700 | 6.61 | 16.40 |
| Bungay River | 5233750 | 6.75 | 16.00 |
| Torrey Creek | 5334075 | 7.05 | 31.30 |
| Rocky Run | 5334100 | 6.43 | 7.10 |


| Table A-1: April 8, 2018 pH and Alkalinity data (continued) |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Clear Run Brook | 5334150 | 6.37 | 12.90 |
| Kickamuit River | 6134500 | 6.75 | 8.15 |
| Blossom Brook | 6134700 | 4.66 | -1.70 |
| King Phillip Brook | 6134725 | 4.54 | -2.15 |
| Rattlesnake Brook | 6235125 | 5.01 | -0.15 |
| Mulberry Meadow | 6235775 | 6.67 | 6.70 |
| Beaver Brook | 6235800 | 6.63 | 10.80 |
| Bassett Brook | 6236100 | 6.24 | 3.80 |
| Godfrey Brook | 7240375 | 6.94 | 21.10 |
| Gulf Brook | 8143675 | 6.90 | 14.25 |
| Robinson Brook | 8143825 | 7.15 | 29.90 |
| Mcgovern Brook | 8144725 | 6.95 | 15.60 |
| Bartlett Pond Brook | 8146000 | 5.30 | 0.10 |
| Millham Brook | 8247475 | 6.81 | 21.20 |
| Ipswich River | 9253500 | 7.00 | 19.90 |
| Black Brook | 9253700 | 6.74 | 16.40 |
| Boston Brook | 9253925 | 6.78 | 14.50 |
| Angeline Brook | 9560000 | 5.73 | 1.40 |
| Bread And Cheese Brook | 9560150 | 6.21 | 2.90 |
| Hatches Creek | 9661525 | 6.07 | 6.25 |

Table A-2: April 8, 2018 color and ion concentration for $\mathbf{2 4}$ long term sites. lon concentrations are in $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$, color in PCU

| PALSITE | NAME | Mg | Mn | Fe | Cu | Al | Ca | Na | K | Cl | $\mathrm{NO}_{3} \mathbf{N}$ | $\mathrm{SO}_{4}$ | Color |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 188 | Shingle Island Brook | 1.10 | 0.045 | 0.2338 | 0.004 | 0.302 | 3.03 | 11.37 | 1.27 | 17.64 | 0.16 | 2.25 | 258 |
| 21010 | Belmont Reservoir | 3.41 | 0.000 | 0.0165 | 0.004 | 0.050 | 8.83 | 0.74 | 0.38 | 2.03 | 0.09 | 1.34 | 26 |
| 32018 | Cobble Mtn. Res. | 1.23 | 0.000 | 0.0165 | 0.004 | 0.069 | 3.51 | 12.19 | 0.63 | 19.18 | 0.06 | 1.23 | 46 |
| 34031 | Hawley Reservoir | 0.67 | 0.004 | 0.0165 | 0.004 | 0.125 | 3.36 | 11.12 | 0.45 | 18.13 | 0.07 | 1.98 | 42 |
| 34103 | Lake Wyola | 0.46 | 0.000 | 0.0284 | 0.004 | 0.078 | 2.27 | 6.16 | 0.50 | 8.71 | 0.04 | 1.32 | 45 |
| 35090 | Upper Naukeag Lake | 0.36 | 0.000 | 0.0165 | 0.004 | 0.097 | 1.47 | 10.56 | 0.37 | 15.14 | 0.01 | 0.92 | 44 |
| 36043 | Crystal Lake | 0.32 | 0.000 | 0.0165 | 0.004 | 0.041 | 0.94 | 0.93 | 0.35 | 2.62 | 0.01 | 0.38 | 39 |
| 36129 | Quabbin Reservoir | 0.62 | 0.000 | 0.0165 | 0.004 | 0.041 | 3.10 | 6.55 | 0.59 | 9.62 | 0.01 | 1.57 | 21 |
| 42039 | Nipmuck Pond | 0.37 | 0.000 | 0.0165 | 0.004 | 0.191 | 1.79 | 7.29 | 0.28 | 10.53 | 0.01 | 1.71 | 27 |
| 61004 | N. Watuppa Lake | 0.66 | 0.085 | 0.0775 | 0.004 | 0.201 | 1.87 | 10.75 | 0.51 | 16.44 | 0.01 | 1.64 | 149 |
| 81001 | Ashby Reservoir | 0.63 | 0.000 | 0.0789 | 0.004 | 0.131 | 3.09 | 16.98 | 0.68 | 26.14 | 0.05 | 1.29 | 53 |
| 81160 | Wright Pond | 0.41 | 0.000 | 0.1341 | 0.004 | 0.088 | 1.85 | 10.69 | 0.46 | 15.2 | 0.01 | 0.87 | 69 |
| 82120 | Whitehall Reservoir | 1.16 | 0.000 | 0.0165 | 0.004 | 0.043 | 4.97 | 22.91 | 1.16 | 42.1 | 0.01 | 1.52 | 47 |
| 94065 | Hedges Pond | 1.26 | 0.000 | 0.0165 | 0.004 | 0.084 | 1.13 | 8.49 | 0.66 | 14.47 | 0.01 | 1.4 | 39 |
| 95030 | College Pond | 0.87 | 0.000 | 0.0165 | 0.004 | 0.053 | 1.19 | 4.47 | 0.30 | 6.85 | 0.01 | 1.11 | 20 |
| 95051 | Ezekiel Pond | 1.28 | 0.000 | 0.0165 | 0.004 | 0.042 | 2.61 | 18.78 | 0.97 | 31.16 | 0.1 | 1.48 | 34 |
| 95092 | Little Sandy Pond | 1.23 | 0.000 | 0.0165 | 0.004 | 0.049 | 1.86 | 16.01 | 1.02 | 25.52 | 0.4 | 1.73 | 43 |
| 96163 | Kinnacum Pond | 1.65 | 0.014 | 0.0186 | 0.004 | 0.104 | 0.67 | 12.98 | 0.83 | 22.23 | 0.01 | 0.94 | 87 |
| 3626575 | Cadwell Creek | 0.56 | 0.005 | 0.0165 | 0.004 | 0.152 | 2.32 | 8.43 | 0.21 | 13.15 | 0.01 | 1.71 | 36 |
| 3626800 | West Br Swift River | 0.35 | 0.002 | 0.0165 | 0.004 | 0.149 | 1.61 | 3.60 | 0.36 | 5.4 | 0.01 | 1.36 | 46 |
| 3627200 | East Br Swift River | 0.57 | 0.000 | 0.0279 | 0.004 | 0.109 | 2.82 | 7.04 | 0.65 | 11.11 | 0 | 1.37 | 80 |
| 6235125 | Rattlesnake Brook | 0.70 | 0.016 | 0.1357 | 0.004 | 0.284 | 2.05 | 8.34 | 0.54 | 11.71 | 0.04 | 2.43 | 176 |
| 9560000 | Angeline Brook | 1.39 | 0.000 | 0.144 | 0.053 | 0.560 | 3.21 | 9.51 | 1.29 | 15.55 | 0.32 | 1.65 | 254 |
| 9560150 | Bread \& Cheese Br. | 1.66 | 0.015 | 0.212 | 0.004 | 0.276 | 5.62 | 35.92 | 1.54 | 60.43 | 0.63 | 2.23 | 269 |


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Note: The term stream in this report refers to lotic waters (from creeks to rivers) and the term ponds refers to lentic waters (lakes and ponds, but not marshes)

